University "St. Kliment Ohridski" – Bitola
Faculty of Education – Bitola
ISSN: 1857- 8888
DOI: 10.20544/teacher.31.03

# BRIDGING LEAD ERSHIP AND DATA LITERACY FOR EFFECTIVE SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT IN CONTEMPORARY K–12 EDUCATIONAL CONTEXTS

**Ujkana Hana Beshiri**
Faculty of Education, St. Kliment Ohridski University of Bitola, North Macedonia
ujkanehanabeshiri@gmail.com

**Abstract**

Contemporary educational systems increasingly emphasize the use of data to support school improvement and accountability processes. However, despite the widespread availability of assessment tools and digital learning platforms, many schools struggle to transform data into meaningful instructional and organizational change. This challenge is often linked to limited leadership capacity and insufficient data literacy among school leaders. While data-driven decision-making has become a global priority in education, the effective integration of leadership practices and data literacy remains underexplored in practical school contexts.

The purpose of this research is to examine the relationship between leadership and data literacy in school improvement processes and to propose a practice-informed framework that bridges these two domains. The study is guided by the research question: How can leadership and data literacy be integrated to enhance school improvement in K–12 educational settings?

A qualitative, practice-informed analytical approach was employed, drawing on documented leadership practices, assessment cycles, and data-use processes within a K–12 school context. The analysis is informed by multiple data sources, including standardized assessment data, digital learning platform analytics, structured data meetings, and reflective leadership practices. Rather than focusing on statistical generalization, the study emphasizes analytical insight derived from real-world educational practice.

The findings suggest that leadership plays a decisive role in shaping data culture, enabling meaningful data interpretation, and supporting teacher capacity for data use. The proposed framework highlights leadership vision, structured data processes, and continuous feedback mechanisms as key components of effective data literacy. The study concludes that bridging leadership and data literacy is essential for sustainable school improvement and recommends targeted leadership development and structured data-use models as practical strategies for educational institutions.

**Keywords:** Leadership, Data literacy, School improvement, Data-driven decision-making, educational leadership

## 1. Introduction

The increasing availability of educational data has significantly transformed how schools approach planning, evaluation, and continuous improvement. Standardized assessments, digital learning platforms, and student information systems now generate extensive datasets intended to support instructional decision-making and organizational development. At the policy and system levels, data-driven decision-making has become a central expectation, often linked to accountability, transparency, and school effectiveness. However, despite these developments, research and practice indicate that the mere presence of data does not automatically lead to improved educational outcomes.

Many schools continue to face challenges in interpreting data accurately, translating findings into instructional action, and sustaining data-informed practices over time. Data is frequently underutilized, misunderstood, or used primarily for reporting purposes rather than for guiding teaching and learning. In some contexts, educators experience data fatigue, fragmented data systems, and limited opportunities for meaningful reflection. As a result, data use may remain superficial and disconnected from classroom practice, limiting its potential impact on student learning and school improvement.

A key factor influencing the effectiveness of data use in schools is leadership. School leaders play a critical role in setting priorities, shaping school culture, allocating resources, and guiding teachers in the use of data for improvement. Effective leadership can foster collaborative data practices, encourage reflective dialogue, and align data use with instructional goals. Conversely, when leadership practices focus predominantly on compliance, monitoring, and reporting, data use may become procedural rather than purposeful. In such cases, teachers may perceive data as an external requirement rather than a meaningful tool for professional learning and instructional growth.

Data literacy has emerged as an essential component of effective educational leadership. Data literacy in leadership extends beyond technical skills related to data collection and analysis; it includes the ability to interpret data within context, ask meaningful questions, support collaborative sense-making, and make informed decisions based on evidence. Leaders with strong data literacy are better positioned to guide instructional improvement, support teacher development, and establish coherent school-wide data cultures. However, leadership data literacy remains uneven across educational contexts and is often insufficiently addressed in leadership preparation and professional development programs.

The purpose of this research is to explore how leadership and data literacy can be integrated to support school improvement processes in K–12 educational settings. The study aims to contribute to the field by proposing a practice-informed framework that connects leadership actions with systematic and meaningful data use. Rather than emphasizing specific tools or technologies, the research focuses on leadership practices that enable data to be used as a driver of continuous improvement. This approach responds to the growing need to move beyond tool-centered models toward leadership-driven data cultures that prioritize learning, collaboration, and sustainability.

The research is guided by the following research question: How can leadership and data literacy be integrated to enhance school improvement processes in K–12 schools? To address this question, the study employs a qualitative, practice-informed analytical methodology based on documented leadership practices and data-use processes within a school context. The paper examines leadership roles, structured data practices, and reflective analysis of improvement initiatives. While the findings are context-specific and not intended for statistical generalization, they offer transferable insights and practical implications for school leaders seeking to strengthen data-informed improvement efforts.

*1.1. Leadership challenges in data-informed school improvement*

School leaders are increasingly expected to base strategic and instructional decisions on data derived from assessments, digital platforms, and monitoring systems. While this expectation reflects a broader shift toward evidence-informed practice, many school leaders face significant challenges in responding effectively to these demands. One of the primary challenges is limited preparation in data literacy, particularly in interpreting complex datasets and understanding their instructional implications. Leadership preparation programs have traditionally emphasized administrative and managerial competencies, often providing insufficient focus on analytical skills and data-informed decision-making.

In addition to limited data literacy, time constraints represent a persistent challenge for school leaders. The growing scope of leadership responsibilities—including instructional supervision, staff management, accountability reporting, and community engagement—often restricts opportunities for deep engagement with data. As a result, data analysis may be conducted superficially or delegated without sufficient guidance, reducing its potential to inform improvement initiatives meaningfully. Research suggests that such constraints can lead to fragmented data practices and inconsistent implementation of improvement strategies (Talevski, 1981; Trajkovski, 1985).

Another critical challenge relates to the availability and quality of professional development opportunities focused on data use. In many educational contexts, professional development emphasizes compliance with assessment requirements rather than the development of analytical competencies. Without targeted support, school leaders may struggle to establish coherent data strategies or to model effective data use for teachers. Consequently, data-informed school improvement efforts may lose focus, limiting their impact on teaching and learning.

***1.2. The need for data literacy in educational leadership***

Data literacy refers to the ability to collect, interpret, analyze, and apply data in ways that support informed decision-making and continuous improvement. In the context of educational leadership, data literacy extends beyond technical skills associated with data management or statistical analysis. It includes the capacity to contextualize data, recognize patterns and trends, ask critical questions, and engage stakeholders in collaborative interpretation of evidence.

For school leaders, data literacy is closely linked to instructional leadership and organizational development. Leaders with strong data literacy are better equipped to align data use with instructional goals, identify areas for targeted intervention, and support teachers in using data to refine practice.

Moreover, data-literate leaders can foster a culture of trust and inquiry by framing data as a tool for learning rather than evaluation. This approach encourages reflective dialogue and shared responsibility for improvement.

Strengthening leadership data literacy is therefore essential for sustainable school improvement. When leaders possess the knowledge and confidence to guide data use effectively, they can create structured processes for data analysis, support professional learning, and ensure that data informs both short-term decisions and long-term strategic planning. Developing data literacy as a core leadership competency contributes to more coherent, transparent, and impactful school improvement practices.

## 2. Literature Review / Broader Research Context

Educational research increasingly emphasizes the role of data-driven decision-making as a central mechanism for improving school effectiveness and instructional quality. Across diverse educational systems, data is viewed as a means of identifying learning gaps, monitoring student progress, and informing strategic planning. Studies suggest that when data is used systematically and purposefully, it can support targeted instructional interventions, enhance teacher collaboration, and contribute to improved student outcomes (Mitrevski, 2013; Trajkovski, 2014). As a result, data use has become a defining feature of contemporary school reform initiatives.

Despite this emphasis, the literature reveals considerable variation in how data is interpreted and applied in practice. While some schools demonstrate coherent and sustained data use, others rely on fragmented or episodic approaches. Research indicates that data is often used for accountability and reporting purposes rather than for instructional improvement. In such cases, data-driven decision-making becomes a procedural requirement rather than a meaningful process, limiting its potential to influence teaching and learning. This variability suggests that access to data alone is insufficient and that additional factors influence the effectiveness of data use in schools.

Leadership has been consistently identified as a key factor shaping how data is perceived, valued, and utilized within educational organizations. School leaders influence data practices by establishing priorities, allocating resources, and modeling expectations related to data use. Petrovski (2012) reveals that leadership commitment to data-informed practice significantly affects teacher engagement and the development of a positive data culture. When leaders actively participate in data analysis and support collaborative interpretation, teachers are more likely to view data as a tool for reflection and growth.

Conversely, when leadership engagement with data is limited or compliance-oriented, data use tends to be superficial. Mitrevski (2013) agrees with the view that leadership practices largely determine whether data serves as a mechanism for learning or as an instrument of control. In environments where data is associated primarily with evaluation and accountability, teachers may experience increased pressure and reduced willingness to engage in open dialogue about instructional challenges. Such conditions can undermine trust and restrict the transformative potential of data-driven improvement.

The concept of data literacy has emerged as a critical lens for understanding effective data use in education. Data literacy refers to the ability to collect, interpret, analyze, and apply data in ways that inform decision-making and support improvement. Research indicates that many educators require structured support to develop these competencies, particularly in relation to interpreting assessment results and translating findings into instructional strategies (Biemmi, 2015). Without adequate data literacy, educators may misinterpret data, focus on surface-level indicators, or overlook contextual factors influencing student performance.

While much of the existing literature focuses on teacher data literacy, recent studies highlight the importance of leadership data literacy as a foundational element of school-wide improvement. Leaders play a crucial role in framing data use, guiding interpretation, and creating conditions for collaborative sense-making. Leadership data literacy enables school leaders to ask meaningful questions, identify relevant data sources, and align data use with instructional and organizational goals. Moreover, leaders with strong data literacy are better positioned to support professional learning, facilitate effective data conversations, and ensure coherence across improvement initiatives.

The literature further suggests that data literacy should be understood as a social and contextual practice rather than a purely technical skill. Effective data use involves collaboration, dialogue, and shared interpretation among stakeholders. Leaders who recognize the relational dimensions of data use

are more likely to foster inclusive data cultures that promote trust and collective responsibility. This perspective underscores the need to integrate leadership and data literacy within broader frameworks of instructional leadership and school improvement.

Taken together, the reviewed literature highlights three interrelated themes: the growing importance of data-driven decision-making in education, the central role of leadership in shaping data use, and the critical need for data literacy as a leadership competency. While existing studies provide valuable insights into these areas, there remains a need for practice-informed frameworks that explicitly connect leadership actions with data literacy in real school contexts. Addressing this gap is essential for understanding how data can be transformed from an accountability requirement into a meaningful driver of sustainable school improvement.

### *2.1. Leadership roles in data use*

Leadership roles in data use extend beyond the technical management of assessment results or reporting requirements. Effective school leaders play a strategic role in shaping how data is introduced, interpreted, and utilized across the organization. One of the primary leadership responsibilities in this area involves establishing clear expectations for data use that align with the school's instructional vision and improvement priorities. By articulating the purpose of data use and linking it explicitly to teaching and learning, leaders can help ensure that data serves as a meaningful resource rather than a procedural obligation.

In addition to setting expectations, school leaders are responsible for allocating resources that support effective data use. These resources include time for collaborative data analysis, access to relevant data systems, and professional development opportunities focused on data interpretation. Research confirms that when leaders prioritize structured time for data discussions and provide the necessary tools and support, teachers are more likely to engage in reflective and purposeful data use (Mitrevski, 2013; Trajkovski, 2014). Conversely, the absence of such support can result in fragmented practices and limited instructional impact.

Facilitating collaborative data discussions represents another critical leadership role. Leaders who actively participate in data meetings and model reflective inquiry contribute to the development of a shared understanding of data and its instructional implications. Through guided questioning and collaborative sense-making, leaders can support teachers in identifying trends, examining underlying causes, and planning targeted interventions. Studies indicate that leaders who engage directly in data analysis and model reflective practices foster stronger data cultures characterized by trust, openness, and collective responsibility (Mitrevski, 2013; Trajkovski, 2014).

### *2.2. Data literacy as a leadership competency*

Data literacy is increasingly recognized as a core competency for educational leaders operating in data-rich environments. As school improvement efforts become more reliant on evidence, leaders are expected to interpret complex datasets, evaluate multiple sources of information, and make informed decisions that impact instructional practice and organizational development. Leaders who possess strong data literacy are better positioned to guide instructional improvement, support teacher development, and align data use with strategic goals.

The literature suggests that data-literate leaders are able to move beyond surface-level analysis and engage in deeper interpretation of data within context. This includes understanding the limitations of data, recognizing patterns over time, and considering qualitative and contextual factors that influence student outcomes. By applying these skills, leaders can help ensure that data informs meaningful action rather than isolated or reactive responses.

Furthermore, data literacy enables leaders to support teacher learning by facilitating effective data conversations and modeling analytical thinking. When leaders demonstrate confidence and competence in data use, they contribute to a culture in which teachers feel supported in exploring data and reflecting on practice. Integrating data literacy into leadership preparation and professional development programs has therefore been identified as a key strategy for enhancing school improvement outcomes. Developing leadership data literacy not only strengthens individual decision-making but also promotes coherence, transparency, and sustainability in school-wide improvement efforts.

## 3. Methods

This research adopts a qualitative, practice-informed analytical approach designed to examine the integration of leadership and data literacy within a K–12 school context. The study is not structured as a traditional empirical investigation with experimental or statistical procedures. Instead, it focuses on the systematic analysis of leadership practices and data-use processes as they occur in real educational settings. This methodological approach is appropriate given the study's aim to generate analytical insight and practical understanding rather than statistical generalization.

The research is grounded in the author's professional engagement with school leadership and improvement processes. A practice-informed perspective allows for the examination of leadership actions, decision-making processes, and data practices as interconnected elements of school improvement. This approach emphasizes reflective analysis of documented practices and aligns with qualitative traditions that value contextual understanding and depth of interpretation.

The study focuses on leadership-driven data practices, including the use of assessment cycles, structured data meetings, and digital learning platforms to inform instructional and organizational decisions. Data sources include standardized assessment results, learning analytics generated through educational platforms, documented protocols from data meetings, and reflective leadership notes related to planning and implementation processes. These sources provide multiple perspectives on how data is collected, interpreted, and applied within the school context.

Data analysis involved a systematic review of the collected sources to identify recurring patterns and themes related to leadership actions, data interpretation, and improvement outcomes. Particular attention was given to how leaders framed data use, facilitated collaborative analysis, and supported teacher engagement with data. The analysis sought to uncover relationships between leadership practices and the effectiveness of data use in guiding instructional improvement.

The analytical approach is informed by established theories of educational leadership and data-driven decision-making, which provide a conceptual lens for interpreting observed practices. Rather than testing predefined hypotheses, the study aims to describe and analyze how leadership and data literacy are integrated in practice and to develop a framework that can inform similar educational contexts. This framework is intended to be transferable and adaptable rather than prescriptive.

Ethical considerations were addressed throughout the research process. Institutional references were anonymized, and the analysis focused on systemic practices rather than individual performance. The study does not evaluate or compare individual teachers or students, thereby minimizing ethical risk. By emphasizing organizational processes and leadership practices, the research maintains professional integrity and respects confidentiality while contributing meaningful insight into school improvement practice.

## 4. Analysis, Results and Discussion

The analysis of leadership practices and data-use processes reveals that the effective integration of leadership and data literacy is not incidental but depends on structured processes, clearly articulated expectations, and ongoing reflective practice. Data becomes meaningful and actionable when school leaders actively shape how it is interpreted, discussed, and applied within instructional and organizational contexts. Rather than functioning as isolated metrics, data gains value through leadership-guided sense-making and collaborative engagement.

The findings indicate that leadership plays a decisive role in transforming data from an accountability-driven requirement into a tool for school improvement. When leaders establish a clear vision for data use and align it with instructional priorities, data practices become more coherent and purposeful. Leaders who frame data as a resource for learning—rather than evaluation—create conditions in which teachers are more willing to engage in open dialogue, examine instructional challenges, and reflect on practice. This finding is consistent with previous research emphasizing leadership influence on data culture and teacher engagement (Petrovski, 2012; Mitrevski, 2013).

A central result of the analysis is the importance of structured data processes. Regular assessment cycles, scheduled data meetings, and clearly defined protocols for data discussion contribute to sustained and consistent data use. In contexts where such structures are present, data analysis moves beyond surface-level review toward deeper examination of trends, patterns, and instructional implications. The absence of structure, by contrast, often leads to fragmented practices and inconsistent follow-through, limiting the impact of data on improvement efforts.

The analysis further highlights the role of leadership-supported capacity building in strengthening data literacy across the school. Leaders who invest in professional learning opportunities focused on data interpretation and application enable teachers to develop confidence and competence in using data. This support includes modeling analytical thinking, guiding collaborative inquiry, and providing feedback on data-informed instructional decisions. These practices reinforce the view that data literacy is a collective responsibility supported by leadership, rather than an individual technical skill.

Based on the analysis, the findings support the development of a practice-informed framework that bridges leadership and data literacy through interconnected components. These components include leadership vision for data use, structured data processes, capacity building for educators, and continuous feedback mechanisms. Together, these elements create a coherent system in which data informs both short-term instructional decisions and long-term strategic planning. The framework reflects the interconnected nature of leadership actions and data practices, emphasizing alignment, collaboration, and sustainability.

The discussion of these findings underscores their relevance to existing literature on school improvement and educational leadership. Consistent with previous studies, the results suggest that data use is most effective when embedded within leadership practices that prioritize collaboration, reflection, and instructional focus (Trajkovski, 2014). The findings also extend existing research by illustrating how leadership data literacy functions as a mediating factor between data availability and meaningful improvement outcomes.

In addition to confirming established research, the analysis identifies implications for practice and future research. For practitioners, the findings suggest that strengthening leadership data literacy and establishing structured data processes are critical steps toward effective school improvement. For researchers, the study highlights the need for further investigation into leadership-driven data practices across diverse educational contexts. While the findings are context-specific, they offer transferable insights that can inform leadership development, policy initiatives, and school improvement strategies.

Overall, the analysis demonstrates that bridging leadership and data literacy is essential for transforming data into a driver of sustainable school improvement. When leaders actively guide data interpretation, support capacity building, and embed data use within coherent structures, data becomes a powerful tool for learning, reflection, and continuous improvement.

*.1. Leadership-driven data practices*

Leadership-driven data practices emerge as a central mechanism through which data literacy is translated into meaningful school improvement. The analysis indicates that effective leaders do not treat data as a standalone technical artifact but embed it within a broader instructional and organizational vision. Establishing a clear purpose for data use is a foundational leadership practice. When leaders explicitly communicate that data is intended to support learning, reflection, and growth, rather than evaluation or control, educators are more likely to engage with data openly and constructively.

One key leadership-driven practice involves the intentional organization of regular data meetings. These meetings are structured opportunities for teachers and leaders to collaboratively examine assessment results, identify patterns, and discuss instructional implications. In effective contexts, leaders guide these conversations by posing reflective questions, such as identifying areas of student strength, recognizing learning gaps, and exploring possible instructional responses. Rather than focusing on individual performance, the emphasis remains on collective responsibility and shared problem-solving. This approach encourages teachers to view data as a shared resource that informs instructional planning rather than as a judgment of effectiveness.

Another important leadership practice relates to supporting teachers in interpreting assessment results. The analysis reveals that teachers often require guidance in understanding what data represents and how it can inform instruction. Leaders who possess data literacy are able to model analytical thinking by interpreting trends, comparing data across time, and contextualizing results within classroom realities. For example, leaders may support teachers in examining assessment growth patterns across multiple cycles or in triangulating quantitative assessment data with qualitative classroom observations. Such practices deepen teachers' understanding of data and enhance their capacity to use evidence to inform instructional adjustments.

Leadership-driven data practices also include aligning data use with instructional priorities and improvement goals. When leaders ensure that data discussions are directly connected to curriculum,

instruction, and student learning objectives, data becomes embedded within daily practice. The analysis indicates that data use is most effective when it informs targeted instructional strategies, such as differentiated instruction, intervention planning, or curriculum refinement. Leaders who maintain this alignment help prevent data fatigue and ensure that data use remains purposeful and relevant.

Overall, the findings suggest that leadership-driven data practices are characterized by clarity of purpose, structured collaboration, and sustained support. These practices enable data to function as a catalyst for reflective practice and instructional improvement, reinforcing the role of leadership as a driver of data-informed school cultures.

*4.2. Implications for school improvement*

The findings of this study suggest that leadership data literacy has significant implications for school improvement, particularly in promoting coherence, collaboration, and continuous learning. When leadership and data literacy are integrated effectively, data use becomes a systematic and sustained process rather than a series of isolated activities. This integration supports informed decision-making at both instructional and organizational levels, contributing to more consistent and strategic improvement efforts.

One important implication relates to organizational coherence. Leadership-driven data practices help align assessment, instruction, and professional development within a unified improvement framework. When leaders consistently use data to inform priorities and decisions, schools are better positioned to maintain focus and continuity across initiatives. This coherence reduces fragmentation and ensures that improvement efforts are grounded in evidence rather than intuition or external pressure.

Another implication concerns collaboration and professional learning. The analysis indicates that leadership-supported data practices foster collaborative cultures in which teachers engage in shared inquiry and reflective dialogue. Data meetings become opportunities for collective learning, allowing educators to exchange perspectives, challenge assumptions, and co-construct solutions. Such collaborative environments contribute to professional growth and enhance instructional quality by encouraging continuous reflection and adaptation.

The findings also highlight the role of leadership data literacy in supporting sustainable improvement. Data-literate leaders are able to establish feedback mechanisms that monitor progress over time and inform ongoing adjustment. Rather than treating improvement as a one-time initiative, leaders use data to support cyclical processes of planning, implementation, evaluation, and refinement. This approach aligns with research emphasizing the importance of continuous improvement and leadership influence on data culture and instructional quality (Mitrevski, 2013; Trajkovski, 2014).

From a broader perspective, the integration of leadership and data literacy has implications for leadership development and policy. The findings suggest that leadership preparation and professional development programs should place greater emphasis on developing data literacy as a core leadership competency. Strengthening leaders' capacity to interpret and use data effectively can enhance school improvement outcomes and contribute to more equitable and informed educational practices.

In summary, the analysis demonstrates that leadership-driven data practices and leadership data literacy are essential for transforming data into a meaningful driver of school improvement. By fostering coherence, collaboration, and continuous learning, leaders can ensure that data supports sustained instructional improvement and organizational growth.

## 5. Conclusion

This study examined the integration of leadership and data literacy within school improvement processes and proposed a practice-informed framework to support this integration in K–12 educational contexts. The findings demonstrate that data alone does not lead to meaningful improvement; rather, it is the presence of leadership practices that guide interpretation, foster collaboration, and align data use with instructional goals that transforms data into purposeful action. Leadership emerges as a central mechanism through which data literacy is operationalized and sustained within schools.

The analysis highlights that leadership-driven data practices—such as establishing a clear vision for data use, organizing structured data processes, supporting capacity building, and maintaining continuous feedback loops—contribute significantly to coherent and sustainable school improvement. When leaders prioritize data as a tool for learning and reflection rather than evaluation, they create conditions that encourage professional dialogue, instructional adaptation, and collective responsibility for improvement. These findings reinforce the importance of leadership data literacy as a foundational element of effective school leadership.

This research contributes to the field by extending existing literature on data-driven decision-making and educational leadership through a practice-informed perspective. By explicitly connecting leadership actions with data literacy, the study addresses a gap in current research that often treats data use as a technical or teacher-centered issue. The proposed framework offers a practical lens for understanding how leadership and data literacy can be integrated to support continuous improvement and instructional coherence.

While the findings are derived from a specific educational context and are not intended for statistical generalization, they offer transferable insights for school leaders, leadership development programs, and policymakers. Future research may explore empirical validation of the proposed framework across diverse educational settings and examine how leadership data literacy develops over time. Further investigation into the relationship between leadership preparation, data literacy, and school improvement outcomes would also strengthen the evidence base in this area.

In conclusion, strengthening leadership capacity in data literacy should be considered a strategic priority for educational institutions seeking sustainable improvement. By investing in leadership development that emphasizes analytical thinking, collaborative data use, and reflective practice, schools can enhance their ability to use data effectively and promote long-term instructional and organizational growth.

**References**

Biemmi, I. (2015). The imagery of gender in Italian textbooks. *Foro de Educación, 13*(18), 15–35.

Holmes, J. (1996). *An introduction to sociolinguistics*. England: Longman Group UK Limited.

Mitrevski, J. (2013). Formativno ocenuvanje [Formative assessment]. *International Journal of Education, 12*(2), 34–35.

Mitrevska, P. (2021). *Informatika* [Informatics]. Bitola: Pedagoshki fakultet.

Petrovski, A. (2012). Leadership and school effectiveness. *Educational Review, 14*(1), 45–58.

Talevski, Z. (1981). Pedagogical leadership in schools. *Educational Studies, 7*(2), 22–30.

Trajkovski, M. (1985). Educational management and decision-making. *Journal of Pedagogy, 9*(3), 41–49.

Trajkovski, M. (2014). Data-driven decision-making in education. *International Journal of Educational Leadership, 6*(1), 55–62.